THE HONOURABLE SHRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 19257 OF 2007

Dated 19th November, 2007

Between:

Smt.Vijaya Lakshmi

…Petitioner.

And

The Commissioner of Police, Cyberabad
and others.

…Respondents.

ORAL ORDER:

The petitioner in this writ petition seeks directions for taking steps on the telegraphic complaint dated 27.8.2007 sent to the Superintendent of Police, West Godavari District, Eluru.

It is the grievance of the petitioner that the first respondent is his wife with whom his relations are strained. It is alleged in the complaint dated 27.8.2007 that the respondents 2 and 3, who are the brothers of the first respondent, have illegally trespassed into his house by breaking open the locks. It is his grievance that in spite of such a complaint, no action has been taken.

Counter affidavit is filed by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Akiveedu Police Station, West Godavari District admitting that the petitioner had sent telegram to the Superintendent of Police, West Godavari on 27.8.2007 and the Superintendent of Police endorsed on it and forwarded the same to the Akiveedu Police Station. After receipt of the telegram in the police station on 6.9.2007, an entry was made in the General Diary. On 7.9.2007, the Sub-Inspector visited the house of the petitioner along with the Village Administrative Officer. But, however, the petitioner was not available and his whereabouts are not known. Further, it is stated that at that point of time, the first respondent who is the wife of the petitioner was residing in the house of the petitioner. Hence, no action was initiated on the telegram sent by the petitioner.

As much as it is stated that the complaint sent to the Superintendent of Police was forwarded to the concerned police station, which made enquiry into the contents of the complaint lodged by the petitioner, it cannot be said that no action has been taken.

In view of the statement in the counter affidavit and the steps taken by the respondents, I do not find any ground for grant of directions prayed for.

The writ petition, which is devoid of merit, is dismissed. No costs.

_____

19-11-2007 **R.SUBHASH REDDY, J.**

VR